

**THE OPEN GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP
NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR ALBANIA**

2020 - 2022

CONSULTATION SUMMARY 3



CONSULTATION 3

Consultation Details

Policy Goal Focus	Open government for the fight against corruption / Integrity Plans
Lead Focal Point Institution	Ms. Rovena Pregja / Mrs. Jona Karapinjalli Ministry of Justice
Date	06/10/2020
Consultation Meeting Number	3

I. Objective of Consultation Meeting

<p>What is the purpose of this consultation? Please answer for all that apply</p>	<p>Details: Third Consultative Meeting led through the mechanization of the Anti-Corruption Thematic Group in the third meeting of the Anti-Corruption Coordination Committee dt. 6/10/2020 aimed at recognizing and presenting the draft OGP action plan for the Anti-Corruption component / Specific Objective: Integrity Plans, revised according to comments and preliminary proposals received by civil society through the first and second online consultancy on Integrity Plans regarding the respective measures and activities. Also, the main purpose of this meeting is to draft and consolidate the components of the national plan of OGP, in order to receive concrete comments and proposals regarding the acquisition of new measures and activities in function of this objective and to monitor the implementation of the latter.</p>
--	---

<p>(i) Introduce stakeholders to the proposed policy goal</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> No / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The strategic goal of this action plan aims to guarantee "<i>Open Government in the fight against corruption</i>" seen as a collaborative meeting point between institutions, civil society and academia, the Integrity Plan is well thought out as a mechanism that aims to make the fight against corruption more effective and to ensure the strengthening of the institutional transparency framework, the strengthening of the ethics and integrity of the civil servant and senior public officials through the principles of inclusiveness of stakeholders. The draft Action Plan for the Anti-Corruption component / Integrity Plans in implementation of the work calendar has been consulted in advance with the stakeholders through the first and second Consultative Meeting. All comments and proposals received at this early stage of the consultation are reflected in the draft presented at the Third Consultative Meeting, Directed through the Mechanization of</p>
---	--

	<p>the Thematic Anti-Corruption Group at the third meeting of the Coordination Committee against Corruption dt. 06/10/2020.</p> <p>Stakeholders in this meeting have provided in advance electronically their respective contributions related to addressing various problems in the field of corruption in the country, have identified the main issues related to Anti-Corruption and have provided preliminary solutions and ideas for it. supported the fight against corruption.</p>
(ii) Introduce stakeholders to the OGP process	<input type="checkbox"/> No / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>In the Third Consultative Meeting chaired through the Mechanism of the Thematic Anti-Corruption Group in the third meeting of the Coordination Committee against Corruption dt. 06/10/2020. about 50 representatives of Civil Society Organizations, academia, other stakeholders, representatives of the Prime Minister, OGP experts, representatives of EU technical assistance, Members of the Coordination Committee against Corruption, focal points of anti-corruption in institutions were invited. respective etc.</p>
(iii) Explain the feedback tools for stakeholders	<input type="checkbox"/> No / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>At the Third Consultation Meeting, Held Online via Webex Platform, Ms. Pregja made a brief presentation at Power Point outlining the progress of the process of reviewing the draft OGP Action Plan for the anti-corruption component 2020-2022. The participants in the Third Consultative Meeting had previously submitted electronically via e-mail their ideas as well as concrete proposals within the open government</p>
(iv) Brainstorm ideas with stakeholders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
(v) Develop further details (milestones, etc.) for ideas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
(vi) Gather feedback on proposed policy goals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
(vii) Prioritize proposed policy goals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
(viii) Other (provide details)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
II. Methodology	
What was the format of the meeting? How were stakeholders able to participate?	The Third Consultation Meeting was organized Online due to the Covid 19. pandemic 19. The nature of the meeting was determined based on

No / Yes

		the protocol evidence led by the mechanism of the Thematic Anti-Corruption Group.
(i) Presentations		<input type="checkbox"/> No / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes ✓ Presentation of the draft Action Plan / Anti-corruption component: Integrity Plans
(ii) Discussion / Feedback from stakeholders		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
(iii) Questions and answers		<input type="checkbox"/> No / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Participants were given the opportunity to ask questions, but there were no Like that.
(iv) Brainstorming		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No / <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Stakeholder Selection		Details
(i) How were stakeholders selected?		Participants were selected from the list that the MoJ, for the field of policy CA has and uses to discuss and share opinions. MoJ has an agreement with CSOs, Civil Society Forum in the field of CA, established in February 2020 (Integrity Week) and in this list are all the organizations involved in this Forum. Participants were also selected from previous contacts and experiences similar to the Ministry of Justice / list of responsible actors of the Anti-Corruption Coordination Committee.
(ii) How were stakeholders contacted?		Interested parties were contacted electronically / via e-mail / Telephone.
(iii) How many stakeholders were contacted?		(About) 50 representatives of civil society, academia, other stakeholders, representatives of the Prime Minister, OGP experts, representatives of EU technical assistance, Members of the Anti-Corruption Coordination Committee, focal points of anti-corruption in the respective institutions
(iv) Was the consultation announced publicly? (via websites, social media, etc.) The		announcement was made only electronically / via-email and telephone.
(v) Were stakeholders reminded?		Yes. Stakeholders and guests in this process are reminded electronically / via- e-mail / Telephone.
III. Results / Findings		
Stakeholder Contributions		Details
(i) How many stakeholders attended?		Total 55 participants.
(ii) Did stakeholders contribute?		Po
(iii) Main issues identified by stakeholders		Jo
(iv) Main recommendations from stakeholders?		Jo
IV. Shorttracas Identified & Preparations for Next Consultation		
		Details
(i) Limitations in stakeholder attendance		Po

(ii) Limitations in stakeholder participation	Po
(iii) What can be done to improve attendance?	Press Release - Open Invitation / Promotion on social networks of the event.
(iv) What can be done to improve participation in the next meeting?	Organizing a Brainstorming

Stakeholder Feedback

Name:	Ms. Rudina Shehu	Organization / Affiliation:	Albanian Helsinki Committee	Position :	Project Coordinator
--------------	------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	---------------------

Comments / Issues Raised / Feedback / Ideas

- Ongoing training on the internal signaling mechanism, legal provisions and wider knowledge of the law on signaling by administration employees and members of responsible units
- Lack of alert cases or increase of registered alert cases.
- A deeper knowledge of the law will lead to better self-enforcement and increased awareness of addressing corruption cases.
- More reliable and transparent administrative bodies for the citizens.
- Taking measures by the authorities at the central and local level, for the review of the professional profile (job description) of the members of the Responsible Units, in the internal and external signaling mechanism.
- Members of Responsible Units with very high integrity and who have sufficient professional training to understand and implement the law.
- Increase the credibility of the mechanism set up to fight corruption, build the necessary capacity to implement the signaling mechanism and protect whistleblowers.
- Drafting annual reports in detail regarding the registered cases of corruption, administrative investigation, decisions taken by the competent bodies. Publish them on the websites of the responsible bodies in order for the public to have access and build trust in the transparency shown for the fight against corruption.
By publishing the cases handled and the manner of treatment, the level of trust, awareness, transparency in the competent bodies dealing with the fight against corruption will increase and more cases will be reported in the future.
- Increase of external control by HIDAACI to the units as well as strengthening of the external signaling mechanism (by the employees directly to HIDAACI). The dependence of the units on superiors directly prejudices the process of the internal referral mechanism, so the HIDAACI should be strengthened in parallel. Lack of autonomy in handling reported cases as they depend directly on the management of the institution.
- Increasing the independence in the functioning of the Responsible Units and in the handling of the registered cases of signaling.
- Registered cases of internal signaling will be handled more independently.
- Transparency in the way of handling registered cases of corruption, publication of decisions taken by the competent bodies for these cases, increase of human capacity to handle registered cases of corruption and placement of people with high integrity in handling cases.

Stakeholder ATTENDANCE

	Name	Organization / Affiliation (full name, not Acronyms)	Position	Email
1	Adea Pirdeni	Ministry of Justice	Deputy Minister	
2	Bardhylka Kospiri	Ministry of Health and Social Welfare	Deputy Minister	
3	Hunt Bonati	Ministry of Infrastructure and Energy	Deputy Minister	
4	Sokol Offier	Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Europe	Deputy Minister	
5	Ornela Çuçi	Ministry of Tourism and Environment	Deputy Minister	
6	Constantine February	Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth	Deputy Minister	
7	Emira Gjeci	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development	Deputy Minister	
8	Belinda Ikonomi	Ministry of Finance and Economics	Deputy Minister	
9	Rowena Pregja	MD	staff	
10	Evis Fico	MD	staff	
11	Stela Suloti	MD	staff	
12	Arber Sandzak	MD	staff	
13	Enea Babameto	MD	staff	
14	Jonas Karapinjalli	MD	staff	
15	Anisa Xake	MD	staff	
16	Ornela Xhembulla	General Prosecution		
17	Evis cried	Prime		
18	brikel Muka	MEPJ		
19	Filloreta Nika	MARD		
20	Antoinette Hoxha	MB		

21	Aurora Mukaj	KLP		
22	Eljesa Harapi	MSHMS		
23	Ada Bedini			
24	Reida Ka shots	APP		
25	Joanna Ristani	APP		
26	Elona Hoxha	MD		
27	Tatjana Janku	MD		
28	Suzana Frasheri	MD		
29	Jonida Narazani	OSCE		
30	Migena Xoxa	Amb Austria		
31	Frederik Eberhardt	representatives of Amb		
32	German Embassy	representatives of Amb		
33	Marsela Isaku	representatives of Amb		
34	Aida Lahi	Amb Hollandes		
35	Hemion Braho	Amb Hollandes		
36	Ermelinda Xhaja	Amb Sweden		
37	Desareta Mitro x3	representatives of Amb		
38	Eridana Cano	ADB		
39	Eurona Leka x2	Albanian Institute of Public Affairs / University M. Barleti		
40	Stephanie Beckmann	Amb USA		
41	Linda Krasniqi	Amb USA		
42	Alketa Koja	KDIMDHP		
43	Rovena Sulstarova	IDM		
44	Ersida Sefa	Foundation Open Society Soros		
45	Nirvana Deiu	EMA		
46	Ardita Seknaj	ICC Albania		
47	Isida Roshi	MB / AMVV		
48	Nevila Como	Expert of IPMG / DBE		

49	Endrita Xhaferraj	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development		
50	Ardian Hackaj	CDI Albania		
51	Milaim Demushaj	Different & Equal Organizations		
52	John Heck	DBE Technical Assistance for MD / AK		
53	Arjan Dymishi	DBE Technical Assistance for MD / AK		
54	Silvana Rusi	MD	Staff	
55	Selami Shehu	MD	Staff	

Photos / gallery

The screenshot shows a Cisco Webex Meeting interface. The main window displays a gallery view of 31 participants, each represented by a circular icon with their initials. A participant named Anisa Xake (AX) is highlighted in blue. A sidebar on the right lists all 31 participants, including: enea.babameto (Me), Selami Shehu (Host), Brikela Muka, Rovena Pregja, Aida Lahi, Anisa Xake, Antoneta Hoxha, Arber Sanxhaku, Ardian Hackaj - CDI, Arjan Dymishi, aurora mukaj, Bardhyka Kospiri, Belinda Ikonomi, elona, Ermira Gjeci, Evis Qaja, Filloreta, Frédéric EBERHARDT, German Embassy, Hemion Braho, Jona.Karapinjalli, Jonida Narazani, kurti, and Marsela ISAKU. The bottom of the screen shows the Windows taskbar with the time 10:01 AM on 10/16/2020.

Cisco Webex Meetings | Hide menu bar ^

File Edit Share View Audio Participant Meeting Help

Connected

Participants (33)

Search

E	SS	BM	[Video Feeds]	
enebabameto (Me)	Selami Shehu (Host)	Brikela Muka	Rovena Pregja	Milaim Demnushaj
AP	AL	AX	AH	AS
Adea Pirdeni	Aida Lahi	Anisa Xake	Antoneta Hoxha	Arber Sanxhaku
AC	AD	AM	BK	BI
Ardian Hackaj - CDI	Arjan Dymishi	aurora mukaj	Bardhyka Kospiri	Belinda Ikonomi
E	E	EQ	F	FE
elona	Ermira.Gjeci	Evis Qaja	Filloreta	Frédéric EBERHARDT
GE	HB	J	JN	K
German Embassy	Hemion Braho	[Icons]	[Icons]	[Icons]

Type here to search

10:02 AM 10/6/2020

Cisco Webex Meetings | Hide menu bar ^

File Edit Share View Audio Participant Meeting Help

Connected

Participants (20)

Search

E	SS	RP	AX
enebabameto (Me)	Selami Shehu (Host)	Rovena Pregja	Anisa Xake
AS	BM	AC	BK
Arber Sanxhaku	Brikela Muka	Ardian Hackaj - CDI	Bardhyka Kospiri
E	E	EQ	F
elona	Ermira.Gjeci	Evis Qaja	Filloreta
FE	J	JN	MX
Frédéric EBERHARDT	Jona.Karapinjalli	Jonida Narazani	Migena Xoxa
OX	SD	SF	T
Omela Xhembulla	Sokol Deaja	suzana frasheri	tatjana.janku

Type here to search

9:57 AM 10/6/2020