THE OPEN GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR ALBANIA

2020 - 2022

CONSULTATION SUMMARY 4



CONSULTA	ΓΙΟΝ 4
Consultation Details	
Policy Goal Focus	Open government for the fight against corruption / Integrity Plans
Lead Focal Point Institution	Ms. Rovena Pregja / Mrs. Jona Karapinjalli Ministry of Justice
Date	14/10/2020
Consultation Meeting Number	4
I. Objective of Consultation Meeting	
What was the aim of this consultation? Please answer for all that apply	Details: The purpose of the Fourth, Concluding Consultative Meeting is: -Presentation of the draft OGP action plan for the Anti-Corruption component / Specific Objective: Integrity Plans, revised and Prioritization Matrix according to comments and proposals concrete results obtained by civil society through the First, Second and Third Consultative Meeting as well as those received electronically Obtaining various suggestions in the framework of composing new measures for the Specific objective "Integrity Plans". Obtaining and receiving comments in the framework of the improvement of the Document "Prioritization Matrix"Finalization and consolidation of component IV: Anti-corruption / Integrity Plans as well as the document "Prioritization Matrix" through the involvement of stakeholders, civil society organizations, academia and the general public.
(i) Introduce stakeholders to the proposed policy goal	□No / ☑Yes - The Integrity Plan is well thought out as a mechanism that aims to make the fight against corruption more effective and guarantee strengthening the framework of institutional transparency, strengthening the ethics and integrity of the employee civilian and senior public officials. - The draft Action Plan for the Anti-Corruption component / Integrity Plans in implementation of the work calendar has been consulted in advance with the interested groups through the online questionnaire and then with the stakeholders during the consultation meetings in order to improve the process of drafting and composing measures and activities of the specific objective

	as well as the consolidation of the strategic document as well.
	-All comments and proposals received at this early stage as well as in other subsequent consultation meetings are reflected in the draft presented at the last consultation meeting, dt.14 /
	Stakeholders in this meeting have verbally provided their respective contributions regarding addressing various issues in the fight against corruption in the country and have provided concrete proposals on updating the current draft, namely: the involvement of other responsible / contributing institutions central and local government, new measures, review of the chronological framework for carrying out activities, new definitions of deadlines for implementation of measures, -
	Fulfilling the policy goal and implementation of the specific objective requires the stable and permanent commitment of civil society. Therefore, their role and contribution is seen in two main moments: First in the design of methodological instruments and in monitoring the implementation of Integrity Plans.
(ii) Introduce stakeholders to the OGP process	□No / ⊠Yes
	About 50 representatives of Civil Society Organizations, the Academy and other interested actors were invited to the Fourth Consultative Meeting.
(iii) Explain the feedback tools for stakeholders	□No / ⊠Yes
	-, Mrs. Pregja chaired the meeting of the Fourth Consultative Meeting.
	-znj. McLaren, OGP expert made a detailed presentation regarding the OGP activity, the basic principles of the OGP, the main documents, the importance of the parties in this process, the draft PV for the dedicated anti-corruption component, etc.
	- Mrs. Jona Karapinjalli made a short presentation at Power Point on the progress of the process of drafting, consulting, reviewing the draft OGP Action Plan for the anti-corruption component 2020-2022 and shared with interested stakeholders the revised Prioritization Matrix document.
	-Participants in the Fourth Consultation Meeting presented their ideas verbally online and offered
	concrete proposals in the framework of open government.

	issues online through a questionnaire sent online via e-mail. -Participants also sent via e-mail their comments regarding the respective measures.	
(iv) Brainstorm ideas with stakeholders	□No / ⊠Yes	
(v) Develop further details (milestones, etc.) for ideas	□No / ⊠Yes	
(vi) Gather feedback on proposed policy goals	□No / ⊠Yes	
(vii)Prioritize proposed policy goals	□No / ⊠Yes	
(viii) Other (provide details)	⊠No / □Yes	
II. Methodology		
What was the format of the meeting? How were stakeholders able to participate?	The Fourth Consultative Meeting was organized Online due to the Covid pandemic 19. The nature of the meeting was open and interactive	
(i) Presentations	 □No / ⊠Yes ✓ Presentation of the OGP process and the constituent components of the Open Government Action Plan 2020-2022. ✓ Introducing the OGP process at the macro-McLaren level. ✓ Presentation of the draft Action Plan / Anti-corruption component: Integrity Plans / Accompanying measures and activities revised ✓ Presentation of the Prioritization Matrix, revised 	
(ii) Discussion / Feedback from stakeholders	□No / ⊠Yes	
(iii) Questions and answers	□No / ⊠Yes Participants were given opportunity to ask questions.	
(iv) Brainstorming	□No/⊠Yes	
Stakeholder Selection	Details	
(i) How were stakeholders selected?	-Participants were selected from the list that MoJ, for the field of policies CA has and uses to discuss and share opinions. MoJ has an agreement with CSOs, Civil Society Forum in the field of CA, established in February 2020 (Integrity Week) and in this list are all the organizations involved in this Forum.	

	-Also, participants were selected from previous contacts and experiences similar to the Ministry of Justice. - Theannouncement for the public consultation of the draft Action Plan 2020-2022 for the anti-corruption component / Integrity Plans was published on the official website of the Ministry of Justice. see: http://drejtesia.gov.al/neësroom/njoftime/tryeze-pune-konsultim-per-diskutimin-e-planit-te-veprimit-pqh-ogp-2020-2022/	
(ii) How were stakeholders contacted?	Interested parties were contacted electronically / via e-mail / Telephone / Official website of the institution.	
(iii) How many stakeholders were contacted?	 - (About) 50 representatives of civil society, academia, other interested actors were contacted electronically and by telephone. - Thecall for participation in the last consultative meeting was also addressed to the general public through the announcement published on the official website of the institution. 	
Was the consultation announced publicly? (via websites, social media, etc.)	Po. - The notification was done electronically / viaemail and telephone. - The announcement for the public consultation of the draft Action Plan 2020-2022 for the anticorruption component / Integrity Plans was published on the official website of the Ministry of Justice. see: http://drejtesia.gov.al/newsroom/njoftime/tryeze-pune-konsultim-per-diskutimin-e-planit-te-veprimit-pqh-ogp-2020-2022/	
(iv) Were reminded stakeholders?	Yes. Stakeholders and guests in this process are reminded electronically / via- e-mail / Telephone.	
III. Results / Findings		
Stakeholder Contributions	Details	
(i) How many stakeholders attended?	8 representatives of Civil Society, 8 (others, staff) Total 16 participants.	
(ii) Did stakeholders contribute?	Yes. IDM representatives provided their views verbally, mainly by reviewing the respective measures and activities.	
(iii) Main issues identified by stakeholders	Po. ✓ Increasing Transparency regarding Public Procurement Contracts / PPP	
(iv) Main recommendations from stakeholders?	Yes. ✓ Improving the package of acts and the	

	internal regulatory environment related to strengthening the integrity of the public servant			
IV. Shortcut Identified & Preparations for Next Consultation				
	Details			
(i) Limitations in stakeholder attendance	No			
(ii) Limitations in stakeholder participation	No			
(iii) What can be done to improve attendance?	Promotion of the event on social networks.			
(iv) What can be done to improve participation in the next meeting?	-			

Stakeholder Feedback

Name:	Mrs. Rovena Sulstarova	Organization / Affiliation:	Institute for Democracy and Mediation https://idmalbania.org//	Position :	Government Program Manager / Legal Experts
-------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	---	------------	--

Issues Raised / Feedback / Ideas

Suggestions for reviewing measures and activities for the Anticorruption component: Objective of Integrity

For objective 2.1:

- Activity 2.1.6 to pass first in the ranking as the whole process of developing an Integrity Plan will be based on this methodological instrument integrity risk assessment.
- Also within this objective to detail the ML measures related to the approval of the PI (ie not to remain only in the drafting of Integrity Plans);
- Provide activities / measures for the process of drafting an Integrity Plan for the Institutions of ML and MoJ.
- Provide activities for the process of drafting Integrity Plans for local self-government units / municipalities in reference to the number provided in the AP 2020-2023, for the period of time that will be covered by this action plan;

For objective 2.2:

- Specification of activity 2.2.1 defining a methodological instrument on IP monitoring for all central government institutions (not only MoJ); the time limit provided for the realization of this activity to be before the start of the IP monitoring process of the MoJ;
- Forecast of a similar activity as above, but for local government; The foreseen deadline for the realization of this activity is before the start of the IP monitoring process for the 6 municipalities that have approved IPs.
- Following activity 2.2.2 provide for the establishment of monitoring groups for the 6 municipalities of the country that already have an integrity plan (5 of them).
- For activity 2.2.2 to review the deadline taking into account the start of this monitoring process: one year of IP implementation from the moment of its approval as well as the period of development of the methodological instrument for IP monitoring (perhaps a suggestion can to be 6M First 2022);
- Activity 2.2.2 to be replicated for those municipalities that will follow a process similar to the MoJ for monitoring IPs after the first year of their implementation.

Comments The

contribution of Civil Society in this plan OGP Action for the component dedicated to the fight against corruption, 2020-222 is seen in two main moments:

- \checkmark First in the design of methodological instruments
- ✓ Second in monitoring the implementation of Integrity Plans
- -Increasing Transparency related with public Procurement contracts / PPP
- -Improvement of the regulatory package regarding the strengthening of the integrity of the public servant

STAKEHOLDER ATTENDANCE				
	Name	Organization / Affiliation (full name, not acronyms)	Position	Email
1	Rovena Pregja	Ministry of Justice	Responsible Sector	Rovena.Pregja .al
2	Jona Karapinjalli	Ministry of Justice	Specialist	Jona.karapinjalli@rejtesia. gov.alSandzhaku
3	Arbër	Ministry of Justice Specialist		Arber.sanxhaku@rejtesia. gov.al
4	Enea Babameto	Ministry of Justice	istry of Justice Specialist	
5	Ermira Bani	Ministry of Justice	Intern Intern	Ermirabani96@gmail.com
6	Selami Shehu	Ministry of Justice	IT	
7	Evis F ico	Ministry of Justice Advisor		Evis.fico@ straightency.gov.al
8	Marsela Isaku	representative of Amb		
9	Rovena Sulstarova	Institute for Democracy and Mediation	Governance Program Manager / Legal Expert	rsulstarova@idmalbania.o rg
10	Sabina Babameto	Albania / National Democratic Institute		
11	Rudina Shehu	Albanian Helsinki Committee		office@ahc.org.al
12	Ervjola Osmanaj	CRCA		
13	Eriola Sovali	ICC Albania		info@icc- albania.org.al
14	Rovena Balla	Diferent & Equale		
15	Cortney McLaren	OGP, Canada	Expert	Courtney.MCLaren@krye ministria.al
16	Jozef Shkambi	CRCA		

Photos / gallery













